

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method of determining the thermal profile of a drilling fluid in a well.

During drilling, the mud injected into the drill string of the well and flowing back  
5 through the corresponding annulus undergoes great temperature variations. The fluid  
can encounter temperatures that can range from 2°C for deep offshore wells to more  
than 180°C for very hot wells. Many mud properties, such as rheology or density,  
depend on the temperature. Calculation of the pressure losses during drilling can  
therefore be improved if an estimation of the temperature profile in the well is known. It  
10 is therefore important to be able to predict the temperature profile in the flowing mud  
from well data and mud characteristics.

Measurement of the thermal profile of the fluid in a well under drilling would  
require complete instrumentation of the well, i.e. installation of evenly spaced out  
detectors in the drill string and in the annulus, allowing temperature measurement at  
15 various depths. However, installing such a measuring system entails too many  
constraints ; only localized measurements picked up by devices mounted in the drill  
string allow to know certain temperature points on the path of the drilling fluid.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the face of this lack of data, analytic models based on heat transfer equations  
20 have been developed to evaluate the thermal profiles of the fluid along the well under  
drilling. Some of these analytic models are implemented in softwares and allow to  
provide an estimation of thermal profiles from a certain number of data more or less

0985730-10601  
T09907T 02/58660

difficult to obtain. Thus, knowing the characteristics of the site and of the drilling equipment, by giving a value of the temperature of the fluid at the well inlet, these softwares can predict the temperature profile of the drilling fluid.

However, a comparison between the results obtained with analytic methods and the measurements obtained in the field shows that there can be great differences. Furthermore, the complexity of softwares using numerical calculation methods makes real-time implementation thereof difficult.

On the other hand, a study of the bibliography on thermal models shows a similarity in the form of temperature profiles in most cases, which turns on three points : inlet temperature, outlet temperature and bottomhole temperature.

The aim of this study is thus to propose a method allowing real-time determination of a thermal profile in the mud from three measuring points available in the field, i.e. the injection temperature, the outlet temperature and the bottomhole temperature measured by a detector mounted on the string. The form of the profile between these three points is represented by a type curve representative of the thermal profiles in a well under drilling, estimated from physical considerations on thermal transfers in the well.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The method of determining the thermal profile of a drilling fluid circulating in a well under drilling according to the invention is defined by the successive stages as follows :

a) determining a general expression  $\theta_1$  for the thermal profile of the fluid within the drill string in the well and a general expression  $\theta_2$  for a thermal profile of the fluid in the corresponding annulus, using the heat propagation equation that takes into account a thermal profile of the medium surrounding the well,

5 b) measuring the temperature of the fluid at the well inlet,  $T_1$ , at the well bottom,  $T_2$ , and at the well outlet,  $T_3$ ,

c) laying down that expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  meet the temperature boundary conditions  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ ,

d) drawing the thermal profile of the drilling fluid as a function of the depth.

10 In order to obtain, in real time, a temperature profile with the method presented above, stages b), c) and d) can be repeated.

According to the method of the invention, in stage a), general expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  can comprise unknown constants, and in stage c), it can be laid down that expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  meet the temperature boundary conditions  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  by determining said  
15 unknown constants.

In order to determine a general expression  $\theta_1$  for the thermal profile of the fluid within the drill string in the well and a general expression  $\theta_2$  for a thermal profile of the fluid in the corresponding annulus, it is possible, according to the method of the invention, to use in stage a) the heat propagation equation that takes into account at least  
20 the thermal equation of the medium surrounding the well, the flow rate of the fluid and the balance of the thermal exchanges undergone by the fluid, said thermal exchanges comprising at least the exchanges between the ascending and descending drilling fluid,

09065730-110601  
T0901T"0E25060

and/or to use the equation of heat propagation in a homogeneous medium on a cylinder of infinite height centered on the well, said cylinder comprising the drill string that guides the descending fluid and the annulus around said drill string, which guides the ascending fluid.

- 5        According to the method of the invention, general expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  obtained in stage a) can be split up into several independent equations and, in stage c), it can furthermore be laid down that the profiles and the derivatives of the thermal profiles of the fluid within the drill string and in the corresponding annulus are continuous.

10        The method according to the invention can notably be used to calculate the pressure drops of the drilling fluid circulating in a well under drilling, or in another application, to determine the zones of hydrate formation in the fluid during drilling.

In relation to the methods for determining the thermal profile of a drilling fluid in a well according to the prior art, the present invention notably affords the following advantages :

- 15        - the temperature profile obtained is more accurate because it is determined from three drilling fluid temperature measurement points while keeping an analytic expression of the thermal profile between the measuring points which is physically justified,
- 20        - the temperature measurements being performed all the time, the method allows to obtain the temperature profile in real time and to observe the evolution thereof with time.

0985730-1.0601

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other features and advantages of the present invention will be clear from reading the description hereafter of non-limitative examples, with reference to the accompanying drawings wherein :

- 5 - Figure 1 diagrammatically shows the architecture of a well under drilling,
- Figures 2, 3 and 4 show the form of the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in a vertical onshore well,
- Figure 5 shows the form of the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in a vertical offshore well,
- 10 - Figure 6 shows the form of the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in a deviated offshore well,
- Figure 7 shows the evolution as a function of time of the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in a vertical offshore well.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

- 15 It is possible to give an analytic expression for the thermal profile in the well and the annulus by using quite simple heat exchange considerations, i.e. the heat propagation equation.

- This model is based on the establishment of the heat balances in the well. According to a first approach, only the steady states are considered (the drilling mud
- 20 flow is assumed to be stabilized for some time so that the temperatures no longer evolve). Certain hypotheses are necessary for calculation : the heat exchanges are measured in a plane perpendicular to the laminar flow of the mud, the various constants

T0907T" 00258660

are assumed to be independent of the temperature, and finally the influence of the temperature of the medium surrounding the well shows on an apriori selected useful diameter  $R_f$ .

It is then sufficient to use the heat propagation equation in a homogeneous medium on a cylinder of infinite height centered on the well shown in Figure 1. In each well section, the heat loss equality is written by considering two temperature functions :  $\theta_1(z)$  within the drill string and  $\theta_2(z)$  in the annulus.

Let

- $\theta_f$  be the temperature of the formation,
- $\lambda_f$  the thermal conductivity of the medium surrounding the well,
- $\lambda_a$  the thermal conductivity of the tubing (metal),
- $C_p$  the heat-capacity rate of the drilling fluid,
- $R_1$  the inside radius of the drill string,
- $R_2$  the outside radius of the drill string,
- $R_t$  the radius of the annulus,
- $R_f$  the effective radius (for heat supply) around the well,
- $D$  the flow rate of the drilling fluid,
- $\rho$  the density of the drilling fluid.

The heat balances per unit of depth are as follows :

- Heat supplied by the medium surrounding the well to the fluid in the annulus :

$$Q_1 = \frac{2\pi\lambda_f}{\ln\left(\frac{R_1}{R_f}\right)}(\theta_2 - \theta_f)$$

- Heat carried from the fluid in the annulus to the fluid within the drill string :

$$Q_2 = \frac{2\pi\lambda_a}{\ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$$

- Heat accumulated by the fluid in the drill string and in the annulus :

$$Q_t = -D \cdot \rho \cdot C_p \Delta\theta_1$$

$$Q_a = D \cdot \rho \cdot C_p \Delta\theta_2.$$

- 5 The heat balances lead to the following system :

$$Q_1 = Q_2$$

$$Q_a = Q_1 + Q_2,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d\theta_2}{dz} = \frac{2\pi\lambda_f}{D\rho C_p \ln\left(\frac{R_1}{R_f}\right)}(\theta_2 - \theta_f) - \frac{2\pi\lambda_a}{D\rho C_p \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$$

$$\frac{d\theta_1}{dz} = -\frac{2\pi\lambda_a}{D\rho C_p \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$$

These equations are solved by diagonalization and matrix inversion , and they lead

- 10 to the following results :

$$\theta_1(z) = -K_1 B e^{r_1 z} - K_2 B e^{r_2 z} + \theta_f - \frac{\alpha}{B}$$

$$\theta_2(z) = -K_1 (B + r_1) e^{r_1 z} - K_2 (B + r_2) e^{r_2 z} + \theta_f$$

with :

$$A = \frac{2\Pi\lambda_f}{D\rho C_p \ln\left(\frac{R_i}{R_f}\right)} \quad B = \frac{2\Pi\lambda_o}{D\rho C_p \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)}$$

$$r_1 = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 + 4AB}}{2} \quad r_2 = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 + 4AB}}{2}$$

$\theta_r = \alpha.z + \theta_0$  being the thermal equation of the medium surrounding the well and  $\alpha$  the thermal gradient.

- 5  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the integration constants depending on the boundary conditions.

It is thus possible, by means of some simplifying hypotheses, to obtain an analytic expression for the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in a well. If all the parameters are known, by giving the inlet temperature and by writing that temperatures  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are equal at the well bottom, the profile is entirely determined. The most commonly  
10 used softwares use this type of predictive procedure. However, a study of the results of the models compared to field data shows how difficult it is to use these estimations in a predictive way.

In the present invention, the system is based on the knowledge of three measuring points in the field : inlet temperature, outlet temperature and bottomhole temperature. In  
15 order to estimate the thermal profile in the well from the three measurements consisting of the surface injection and outlet temperatures and the bottomhole temperature (inside or outside the drill string), the method according to the invention consists in connecting the three measuring points by a general expression representative of the evolution of a thermal profile in a wellbore, as obtained according to the method described above.



We therefore take the equations obtained by means of heat exchange calculations :

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1(z) &= -K_1 B e^{r_1 z} - K_2 B e^{r_2 z} + \theta_f - \frac{\alpha}{B} \\ \theta_2(z) &= -K_1 (B + r_1) e^{r_1 z} - K_2 (B + r_2) e^{r_2 z} + \theta_f\end{aligned}$$

According to the invention, these curve forms are adjusted to the three measuring points of the drilling fluid temperature at the inlet, T1, at the well bottom, T2, and at the well outlet, T3. In order to use these three measuring points as boundary conditions, we choose to decouple the two equations (in the drill string and in the annulus) by using different integration constants while keeping the general expression. We obtain two general expressions of the temperature profile in the drill string,  $\theta_1$ , and in the annulus,  $\theta_2$ , which have a physical significance but which comprise two degrees of freedom. Thus, expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  can be adjusted by fixing said degrees of freedom in order to meet the temperature conditions T1, T2 and T3. We therefore decide that the equations in the pipes and in the annulus have the form as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1(z) &= -K_1 B e^{r_1 z} - K_2 B e^{r_2 z} + \theta_f - \frac{\alpha}{B} \\ \theta_2(z) &= -K_3 (B + r_1) e^{r_1 z} - K_4 (B + r_2) e^{r_2 z} + \theta_f.\end{aligned}$$

We thus have four integration constants  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  rather than two, which requires four boundary conditions to determine the temperature profile. These four boundary conditions are : measurements of the well inlet, bottomhole and outlet temperature, and an equality condition at the bottom between the temperature in the drill string,  $\theta_1$ , and the temperature in the annulus,  $\theta_2$ . The profile can be adjusted at any time to pass through the measuring points : we thus have a real-time estimation of the thermal profile. Programming by means of a spreadsheet type software allows to readily obtain the real-time evolution of the profile.

Figures 2, 3 and 4 respectively show the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in a vertical onshore well at a flow rate of 500 l/min, 1000 l/min and 2000 l/min. The analytic expression determined allows simple calculation of the temperature  $T$  in Celsius degrees of the fluid in the drill string (curve  $\theta_1$ ) and in the annulus (curve  $\theta_2$ ) as a function of the depth  $P$  in meter. The analytic expression depends on several parameters that can be fixed from the start. We use by default typical values of these parameters. To determine the temperature profile of Figures 2, 3 and 4, the geothermal gradient  $\alpha$  is assumed to be constant to correspond to the onshore situation of the well. The temperature profile is entirely determined by measuring the temperature, 20°C at the inlet, 35°C at the bottom and 24°C at the outlet of the well.

The case of the vertical offshore well can be tackled by considering that the geothermal profile of the medium surrounding the well is divided in two domains : let  $\theta_m$  be the thermal profile of the sea and  $\theta_s$  the thermal profile of the ground. Thermal gradient  $\alpha$  is assumed to be constant in each domain, but discontinuous from one domain to the other. Let  $\alpha_m$  be the thermal gradient of the sea and  $\alpha_s$  the thermal gradient of the ground. We then consider two series of equations (one for each domain) for each general expression in the pipes and in the annulus. We thus obtain four decoupled equations which represent the thermal profile of the drilling fluid in the well. Equation  $\theta_{11}(z)$  corresponds to the temperature profile in the drill string in the sea,  $\theta_{12}(z)$  corresponds to the temperature profile in the drill string in the ground,  $\theta_{21}(z)$  corresponds to the temperature profile in the annulus in the ground and  $\theta_{22}(z)$  corresponds to the temperature profile in the annulus in the sea,  $\theta_{11}$  being independent of  $\theta_{12}$  and  $\theta_{21}$  being independent of  $\theta_{22}$  :

$$\theta_{11}(z) = -K_1 B e^{r^{1,z}} - K_2 B e^{r^{2,z}} + \theta_m - \frac{\alpha s}{B}$$

$$\theta_{12}(z) = -K_3 B e^{r^{1,z}} - K_4 B e^{r^{2,z}} + \theta_s - \frac{\alpha m}{B}$$

$$\theta_{21}(z) = -K_5 (B + r_1) e^{r^{1,z}} - K_6 (B + r_2) e^{r^{2,z}} + \theta_s$$

$$\theta_{22}(z) = -K_7 (B + r_1) e^{r^{1,z}} - K_8 (B + r_2) e^{r^{2,z}} + \theta_m$$

This brings the number of integration constants to eight ( $K_1$  to  $K_8$ ). The boundary conditions are then : measurements of the inlet, outlet and bottomhole temperature, equality condition at the bottom between the pipe temperature and the annulus temperature, to which we add the continuity of the thermal profiles in the drill string and in the annulus at the junction of the two domains and the continuity of the derivative of the thermal profiles in the drill string and in the annulus at the junction of the two domains. Similarly, it is then possible to obtain in real time a physically realistic thermal profile passing through the measuring points. Figure 5 shows the thermal temperature profile of a drilling fluid in an offshore well from the four equations  $\theta_{11}$ ,  $\theta_{12}$ ,  $\theta_{21}$  and  $\theta_{22}$ . The fluid circulates at 500 l/min and the temperatures measured are 20°C at the inlet, 15°C at the outlet and 30°C at the well bottom. The thermal gradients are selected constant in each domain crossed by the well.

Deviated wells represent the majority of the current wellbores. The physical problem is not fundamentally different and it can be handled in the same way as offshore wellbores : the well just has to be divided into two domains, each domain being characterized by a different thermal gradient corresponding to the medium surrounding the well. In the case of a deviated well, the depth corresponds to the distance covered along the well trajectory. General expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  representative of the thermal profile are each split up into two independent equations. The vertical part is characterized by the thermal gradient  $\alpha$  of the medium surrounding the well, the

deviated part is characterized by an equation of the thermal profile of the medium surrounding the well  $\theta_d = \alpha \cdot \sin(\phi) \cdot z + \theta_0$ ,  $\phi$  being the angle of inclination. The same boundary conditions (measurements of the temperature at the inlet, at the outlet and at the bottom of the well, equality at the bottom between the pipe temperature and the annulus temperature, and continuity of the thermal profiles and of the derivative of the thermal profiles in the drill string and in the annulus at the junction of the two domains) then allow to solve the equations and to obtain the expression of the temperature profile in the pipes and in the annulus.

It is possible to combine the procedure applied for a vertical offshore well and the procedure applied for a deviated onshore well in order to determine the temperature profile in an offshore well when the direction of the hole is deviated in the ground. The domain is divided into three different domains : let  $\theta_m$  be the thermal profile of the vertical domain in the sea,  $\theta_s$  the thermal profile of the vertical domain in the ground and  $\theta_d$  the thermal profile of the deviated domain in the ground. Figure 6 shows the thermal profile in a deviated offshore well. The fluid circulates at 500 l/min and the temperatures measured are 20°C at the inlet, 23°C at the bottom and 15°C at the outlet of the well.

According to the same method as that used for the vertical offshore well or for the deviated onshore well, it is possible to determine the thermal profile of a vertical onshore well whose formation thermal gradient changes as a function of the depth. The well is divided into domains characterized by a thermal equation of the medium surrounding the well. General expressions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  representative of the thermal profile are then each split up into as many independent equations as there are different

domains. The same boundary conditions (measurements of the temperature at the inlet, at the outlet and at the bottom of the well, equality at the bottom between the pipe temperature and the annulus temperature, and continuity of the thermal profiles and of the derivative of the thermal profiles in the drill string and in the annulus at the junction of the two domains) then allow to solve the equations and to obtain the expression of the temperature profile in the pipes and in the annulus.

By repeating the calculation allowing to obtain the expression of the temperature profile of the drilling fluid upon each new temperature measurement, we obtain a representation of the temperature profile evolving with time. Figure 7 shows the evolution of the temperature profile of the drilling fluid in an offshore well in the course of time. The graph in the upper part of Figure 7 shows the evolution as a function of time  $t$  in second of the flow rate parameter  $D$  in l/min of the drilling fluid, and of the temperature parameter  $T$  in °C of the drilling fluid at the inlet,  $T_1$ , at the bottom,  $T_2$ , and at the outlet,  $T_3$ , of the well. The three graphs in the lower part show the temperature profile at three different times and allow to observe the evolution thereof.

Knowledge of the thermal profile of the drilling fluid at any time allows real-time calculation of the pressure drops in the well by taking into account the thermal effects. This gives a better estimation of the bottomhole pressures and of the injection pressures for complex wells.

Another use of real-time determination of the thermal profile of the drilling fluid is hydrates formation prevention. Hydrates form under low temperature and high pressure conditions, conditions which are met notably in deep offshore wells at the ground/sea interface. Knowledge of the temperature profile allows to determine the zones where the

temperature of the drilling fluid is below the minimum value from which hydrates form, then to react accordingly, for example by raising the flow rate or by heating the fluid in order to prevent this formation of hydrates.

09085730-110601